End of Placement Questionnaire

One way to improve the quality of a professional experience placement is to evaluate the pre-service teacher's (PST) experience at a school and use this feedback to inform practices for future placements.

Who implements this strategy?

Professional Experience Co-ordinator (PEXC)

How is it implemented?

- The PEXC emails the confidential 'end of placement' questionnaire to the PST at the start of their final week of placement and asks them to return the completed form on the last day of placement.
- This feedback is then used to evaluate the quality of the placement offered and inform areas to develop, strategies to continue and professional learning needs of supervising teachers.

Variations:

- The PEXC can interview the PST. Or, if multiple PSTs undertake their placements concurrently, focus groups may be conducted.
- Convert this questionnaire into an online survey.
- Adjust questions to reflect the strategies and support offered by the school.



What are the benefits of implementing this strategy?

- For the PST: If relational trust has been built, the PST is able to clearly articulate how the whole school experience influenced their professional knowledge and practice.
- For the PEXC: The PEXC is able to determine Supervising Teachers' (ST)
 areas of strength and professional learning needs, then utilising these
 insights to further support all STs e.g. pairing STs to create peer learning
 or mentoring opportunities (if they are a first-time supervisor).
- For the school: The school can use this evaluative tool and the School Excellence Framework as an opportunity to reflect on the specific elements and practices, which can, in turn, be used to focus efforts for improvement.

Outcomes

- PST had a high quality Professional Experience Placement and they felt known, valued and cared for.
- The effectiveness of the Professional Experience Placements offered by the host school can be monitored.

Success indicators

- An indicator that the strategy is working as intended: Initially the PEXC is consistently adjusting whole school strategies and working to upskill all or some Supervising Teachers. This then leads to a sustainable distributed leadership model and differentiated support is provided based on needs.
- An indicator that the strategy is not working as intended: The school is assessed at 'delivering' in the elements of Learning and Development, Professional Standards and/or Educational Leadership from the School Excellence Framework.





End of placement questionnaire for Pre-service Teachers



We are invested in providing the best possible placement for all Preservice Teachers. This information will be used to evaluate school practices.

practices.
Q1) Which KLA or Stage/ Year group did you complete the majority of your placement in?
 Year / Stage English Maths Science HSIE PDHPE Creative Arts TAS Support Other
Q3. Who was your main supervising teacher (ST)?
The following questions relate to the Week 1 survey.
Q4) How was the Week 1 survey followed up with you? Please select all that apply:
☐ I did not receive a Week 1 survey

 □ I did not submit a Week 1 survey □ Via email □ Face-to-face □ Via supervisor □ No follow up was provided □ No follow up was required □ Other (please specify)
The following questions relate to your professional development.
Q5) What topics did you learn the most about whilst on professional experience? (Please select all that apply)
 □ Lesson/ subject content □ Engagement strategies □ Behaviour management strategies □ Differentiation strategies □ Establishing classroom routines and expectations. □ Supporting student needs □ Assessment strategies □ Broadening the variety of teaching strategies □ Classroom communication □ Voice Projection □ Critical reflection on lesson planning □ Critical reflection on how my teaching impacts learning □ Feedback to students – written & verbal. □ Other □ Other
Q6) Which feedback method worked best for you? (please select all that apply)
 □ One-on-one conversation with supervising teacher □ Student feedback □ ULO/Tertiary Supervisor feedback □ Written lesson observations

□ Other (please specify)
Q7) Did you observe other classes during this placement?
 ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ I was not aware of this option What difference did it make to your professional development to visit other classrooms?
Q8) Have you felt well supported during your placement? Yes No Please detail your experience:
Q9) Did the feedback from the University Liaison Officer/ Tertiary Supervisor differ from feedback from your supervising teacher?
 ☐ Yes, it was very different all the time. ☐ Sometimes. Some feedback was duplicated. ☐ Mainly. Feedback was mostly similar. ☐ No, feedback was the same from both.
Please explain how this feedback supported your professional development.

Q10) Do you feel you had enough opportunities for professional growth during this placement?
 ☐ Yes, I felt I had enough opportunities during my placement. ☐ No, I would have liked more opportunities during my placement
If no, what other opportunities would you have liked to access?
Q11) How many supervising teachers did you have during this
placement?
□ One
□ Two
□ Three
☐ Four or more
Was this an advantage for you?

The following questions relate to your supervisor and feedback.

Q12) Please provide information about the feedback you received from your supervisor(s) during this placement:

	1 Not at all	2 Sometimes	3 Neutral	4 Mainly	5 Always
Were suggestions provided for you to improve?					
Was the feedback fair?					
Was the feedback consistent?					
Was the feedback specific & descriptive?					

Was the feedbac	k										
timely? Was it linked to t	he										
Graduate Teachi											
Standards or bey	_	d?									
Q13) Did you feel comfortable to experiment with different teaching strategies in the classroom based on the feedback you received?											
□ No											
☐ Sometimes											
□ Often											
□ Yes											
								_			
Q14) In your post	obs	erv	atio	n fe	edb	ack,	did	l yoι	ır supe	rvisor:	
						1		2	3	4	5
					Yes, a	ı alway	s	_	3	4	No, never
Allow self-reflec	tio	า?									
Use open-ended	que	estic	ons	to							
allow you to iden	itify	/									
improvements?											
Offer a variety of	t										
suggestions?											
Q15) Did your supervisor(s) have a good understanding of the APST - Graduate Teaching Standards?											
Did not refer to them	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 Extensive	e understa	ınding
Q16) Did you use so your teaching and IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	d int				•			stud	ent dat	a to eva	aluate
□ Always											

Q17) Did you use video analysis?											
□ Yes □ No											
If yes, what difference did it make to your teaching?											
Q18) Are there any other types of feedback you would have liked?											
Q19) Do you feel having a Professional Experience Coordinator (PEXC) available during your placement was a valuable support?											
	1 No value	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 Very valuable	
Q20) Was it worthwhile attending the weekly PST Induction meetings?											
Not	at all beneficial	_								Very worthwhile	
Q21) Was the content covered during the weekly PST Induction meetings an extension of university theory courses? □ No, not covered at university. □ Sometimes □ Often											
\square Yes, strong link between theory and practice.											

