

**GREENCAP**

*Going Further in Managing Risk*

104 Market Street  
Wollongong NSW 2500  
Australia

CRINGILA PUBLIC SCHOOL  
MONTHLY SUBSURFACE GAS  
MONITORING REPORT  
– OCTOBER 2019

J153825-03

NSW Department  
of Education  
Cringila Public School

35 Sheffield Street,  
Cringila NSW 2502



C107471:TO

[greencap.com.au](http://greencap.com.au)

ABN 76 006 318 010

Adelaide | Auckland | Brisbane | Canberra | Darwin | Melbourne | Newcastle | Perth | Sydney | Wollongong

## Document Control

| Document Quality Management Details. |   |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Job Reference:</b>                | J153825-03  |  |
| <b>Report Name:</b>                  | Cringila PS Monthly Subsurface Gas Monitoring Report – October 2019   |  |
| <b>Site Details:</b>                 | Cringila Public School, 35 Sheffield Street, Cringila NSW   |  |
| <b>Client Name:</b>                  | NSW Department of Education   |  |
| <b>Client Number:</b>                | C107471   |  |
| <b>Signatures:</b>                   | Prepared By:<br><br>Tom Oyston<br>Property Risk Consultant | Authorised By:<br><br>Scott Mcilwain<br>Regional Manager |

### Issue Status

| Version No. | Date       | Creator/s  | Reviewer       |
|-------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| 1           | 31/10/2019 | Tom Oyston | Scott Mcilwain |

### Document Circulation

| No of Copies | Type       | Customer Name | Position & Company                         |
|--------------|------------|---------------|--|
| 1            | Electronic | Greg Mott     | Senior Group Leader, School Infrastructure |

## Statements of Limitation

All and any Services proposed by Greencap to the Client are subject to the Terms and Conditions listed on the Greencap website at: [www.greencap.com.au/about-greencap/terms-and-conditions](http://www.greencap.com.au/about-greencap/terms-and-conditions). Unless otherwise expressly agreed to in writing and signed by Greencap, Greencap does not agree to any alternative terms or variation of these terms if subsequently proposed by the Client. The Services are to be carried out in accordance with the current and relevant industry standards of testing, interpretation and analysis. The Services are to be carried out in accordance with Commonwealth, State or Territory legislation, regulations and/or guidelines. The Client will be deemed to have accepted these Terms when the Client signs the Proposal (where indicated) or when the Company commences the Services at the request (written or otherwise) of the Client.

The services were carried out for the Specific Purpose, outlined in the body of the Proposal. To the fullest extent permitted by law, Greencap, its related bodies corporate, its officers, consultants, employees and agents assume no liability, and will not be liable to any person, or in relation to, any losses, damages, costs or expenses, and whether arising in contract, tort including negligence, under statute, in equity or otherwise, arising out of, or in connection with, any matter outside the Specific Purpose.

The Client acknowledges and agrees that proposed investigations rely on information provided to Greencap by the Client or other third parties. Greencap makes no representation or warranty regarding the completeness or accuracy of any descriptions or conclusions based on information supplied to it by the Client, its employees or other third parties during provision of the Services. The Client releases and indemnifies Greencap from and against all Claims arising from errors, omissions or inaccuracies in documents or other information provided to Greencap by the Client, its employees or other third parties. Under no circumstances shall Greencap have any liability for, or in relation to, any work, reports, information, plans, designs, or specifications supplied or prepared by any third party, including any third party recommended by Greencap.

The Client will ensure that Greencap has access to all sites and buildings as required by or necessary for Greencap to undertake the Services. Notwithstanding any other provision in these Terms, Greencap will have no liability to the Client or any third party to the extent that the performance of the Services is not able to be undertaken (in whole or in part) due to access to any relevant sites or buildings being prevented or delayed due to the Client or their respective employees or contractors expressing safety or health concerns associated with such access.

Greencap, its related bodies corporate, its officers, employees and agents assume no liability and will not be liable for lost profit, revenue, production, contract, opportunity, loss arising from business interruption or delay, indirect or consequential loss or loss to the extent caused or contributed to by the Client or third parties, suffered or incurred arising out of or in connection with our Proposals, Reports, the Project or the Agreement. In the event Greencap is found by a Court or Tribunal to be liable to the Client for any loss or damage arising in connection with the Services, the Client's entitlement to recover damages from Greencap shall be reduced by such amount as reflects the extent to which any act, default, omission or negligence of the Client, or any third party, caused or contributed to such loss or damage. Unless otherwise agreed in writing and signed by both parties, Greencap's total aggregate liability will not exceed the total consulting fees paid by the client in relation to this Proposal. For further detail, see Greencap's Terms and Conditions available at [www.greencap.com.au/about-greencap/terms-and-conditions](http://www.greencap.com.au/about-greencap/terms-and-conditions)

The Report is provided for the exclusive use of the Client for this Project only, in accordance with the Scope and Specific Purpose as outlined in the Agreement, and only those third parties who have been authorised in writing by Greencap. It should not be used for other purposes, other projects or by a third party unless otherwise agreed and authorised in writing by Greencap. Any person relying upon this Report beyond its exclusive use and Specific Purpose, and without the express written consent of Greencap, does so entirely at their own risk and without recourse to Greencap for any loss, liability or damage. To the extent permitted by law, Greencap assumes no responsibility for any loss, liability, damage, costs or expenses arising from interpretations or conclusions made by others, or use of the Report by a third party. Except as specifically agreed by Greencap in writing, it does not authorise the use of this Report by any third party. It is the responsibility of third parties to independently make inquiries or seek advice in relation to their particular requirements and proposed use of the site.

The conclusions, or data referred to in this Report, should not be used as part of a specification for a project without review and written agreement by Greencap. This Report has been written as advice and opinion, rather than with the purpose of specifying instructions for design or redevelopment. Greencap does not purport to recommend or induce a decision to make (or not make) any purchase, disposal, investment, divestment, financial commitment or otherwise in relation to the site it investigated. This Report should be read in whole and should not be copied in part or altered. The Report as a whole sets out the findings of the investigations. No responsibility is accepted by Greencap for use of parts of the Report in the absence (or out of context) of the balance of the Report.

# Cringila PS Monthly Subsurface Gas Monitoring Report – October 2019

NSW Department of Education

Cringila Public School

## Table of Contents

|   |   |      |
|---|---|------|
| 1 | Introduction .....                        | 1    |
| 2 | Climatic Conditions.....                  | 1    |
| 3 | Fieldwork Methodology .....               | 2    |
|   | 3.1 Subsurface Gas Wells .....            | 2    |
|   | 3.2 Service Pits .....                    | 2    |
| 4 | Assessment Criteria.....                  | 2    |
|   | 4.1 Criteria for Ground Gases .....       | 2    |
| 5 | Monitoring Results .....                  | 4    |
|   | 5.1 Subsurface Gas Well Monitoring .....  | 4    |
|   | 5.2 Characteristic Gas Situation .....    | 4    |
|   | 5.3 Service Pits .....                    | 6    |
| 6 | Monthly Site Inspection checklist .....   | 7    |
| 7 | Findings .....                            | 7    |
| 8 | Conclusions .....                         | 7    |
|   | Appendix A: Figures.....                  | VIII |
|   | Appendix B: Calibration Certificates..... | IX   |

## 1 INTRODUCTION

This report summarises the findings of the October 2019 monthly round of subsurface gas monitoring carried out at Cringila Public School, located at 35 Sheffield Street, Cringila NSW (refer **Figure 1** in **Appendix A** for site layout).

The works were undertaken on 29<sup>th</sup> October 2019. The work forms part of an ongoing monitoring program prepared for the site in response to a Clean-Up Notice issued to the site (Notice No. 1557944, dated 25<sup>th</sup> October 2017). Works are undertaken in conjunction with weekly near-surface temperature monitoring and ambient air quality monitoring for the purpose of assessing subsurface gas risk associated with combusting coal fill processes identified within the north western hotspot area within the school grounds.

## 2 CLIMATIC CONDITIONS

Daily meteorological data obtained from the Albion Park Weather (Wollongong Airport) (station 068241) was collected prior to and during the monitoring round to provide meteorological data and to assist in accounting for changes in gas concentrations between monitoring events.

The weather station is situated approximately 14km south of the site. **Table 1** below summarises the meteorological variation experienced in the vicinity of the site leading up to and during the monitoring event.

**Table 1: Weather Observations – Albion Park** (station 068241)

| Date       | Temperature |      | Rainfall | Wind Parameters |               |           |               | Barometric Pressure |        |
|------------|-------------|------|----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------|--------|
|            | 9am         | 3pm  |          | 9am             |               | 3pm       |               | 9am                 | 3pm    |
|            | °C          | °C   | mm       | Direction       | Speed (km/hr) | Direction | Speed (km/hr) | hPa                 | hPa    |
| 23/10/2019 | 20.9        | 23   | 0        | ENE             | 43            | ENE       | 28            | 1023.1              | 1017.7 |
| 24/10/2019 | 20          | 23.3 | 0        | NE              | 28            | NE        | 19            | 1018.8              | 1016   |
| 25/10/2019 | 29.4        | 33.2 | 0        | NW              | 59            | WNW       | 13            | 1011.2              | 1006.2 |
| 26/10/2019 | 27.7        | 26.7 | 0        | W               | 78            | W         | 44            | 1002                | 1002.5 |
| 27/10/2019 | 18.4        | 20.2 | 0        | NE              | 31            | ENE       | 22            | 1015.3              | 1014   |
| 28/10/2019 | 18.9        | 19.5 | 0        | E               | 31            | ENE       | 19            | 1022.4              | 1022.5 |
| 29/10/2019 | 20.8        | 23   | 0        | ENE             | 48            | NE        | 31            | 1023.4              | 1018.4 |

The weather observations (as demonstrated in **Table 1** above) indicate the following:

- Temperatures during the week prior, and on the morning of monitoring were mild to warm, and were generally observed to increase throughout the day;
- No rainfall was recorded during the week prior to or on the day of monitoring;
- High wind speeds (>10km/h) were recorded on all mornings and afternoons of the week prior to and day of monitoring. Morning wind speeds consistently higher than afternoon wind speeds; and
- Barometric pressure was observed to fluctuate over the week prior to monitoring, peaking on the day of monitoring.

### 3 FIELDWORK METHODOLOGY

Fieldwork was undertaken on 29<sup>th</sup> October 2019. Monitoring was carried out using a calibrated GA5000 Landfill Gas Meter (calibration certificates are provided in **Appendix B**).

#### 3.1 Subsurface Gas Wells

The monitoring ports of the GA5000 were fitted to the X-cap of each of the 9 (GG1 to GG9) subsurface monitoring wells. Subsurface gas and flow rate were recorded as well as concentrations of the following Hazardous Gases (refer to **Figure 2** of **Appendix A** for monitoring locations);

- Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) - (%v/v): Maximum and stable concentrations;
- Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) - (%v/v): Maximum and stable concentrations;
- Oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) - (%v/v): Minimum and stable concentrations;
- Carbon Monoxide (CO) - (ppm): Maximum concentration;
- Hydrogen Sulphide (H<sub>2</sub>S) - (ppm): Maximum concentration;
- Relative pressure (mbar);
- Atmospheric pressure (mbar);
- Balance (v/v%); and
- Flow rate (L/hr): stabilised concentration (within subsurface gas monitoring wells only).

#### 3.2 Service Pits

Service pits were assessed by inserting the GA5000 nozzle into the pits with the sampling tube inserted at least 30 cm below the cover grate for a minimum of 30 seconds. The locations of service pits monitored (P1 to P12) are presented in **Figure 2** of **Appendix A**.

### 4 ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

#### 4.1 Criteria for Ground Gases

Criteria for ground gases in gas monitoring wells is selected based on the threshold levels presented in *Solid Waste Landfills Guideline* (NSW EPA 2016) and presented below in **Table 2**.

| Analyte         | Threshold level reference   | Unit              | Threshold Level | Comments  |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---|
| CH <sub>4</sub> | NSW EPA 2016 <sup>(1)</sup> | % (volume/volume) | 1.0             | The threshold level for further investigation and corrective action |
| CO <sub>2</sub> |                             |                   | 1.5             |   |

**Note:**

1. The threshold levels for further investigation and corrective action are detection of methane at concentrations above 1% (volume/volume) carbon dioxide at concentrations of 1.5% (volume/volume) above established natural background levels.

When the above-mentioned levels are exceeded, further characterisation of the obtained values through the calculation of Gas Screening Values (GSV) will be required. Both on-site and off-site risk associated with subsurface landfill gas is further characterised through the calculation of the GSV. Using both the total concentration and flow rate, the level of risk associated with any identified subsurface gas concentrations at

each of these locations can be assessed. The method of deriving a GSV and associated landfill gas risk has been adopted by the calculations below specified in the Modified Wilson and Card classification *Guidelines for the Assessment and Management of Sites Impacted by Hazardous Ground Gases* (NSW EPA 2012).

GSV refer to the concentrations of CH<sub>4</sub> or CO<sub>2</sub> gas measured in a monitoring well multiplied by the measured borehole flow rate.

**Table 3** below presents a summary of the Modified Wilson and Card classification used to calculate GSV and Characteristic Situation (CS) as well as the risk classification in accordance with the Guideline.

| Gas Screening Value Threshold (L/hr) | Characteristic Gas Situation | Risk Classification   | Additional Factors   |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| <0.07                                | 1                            | Very low risk         | Typically, CH <sub>4</sub> <1% v/v and/or CO <sub>2</sub> <5% v/v, otherwise consider increase to Situation 2 <sup>1</sup> |
| <0.7                                 | 2                            | Low risk              | Borehole flow rate not to exceed 70L/hr otherwise consider increase to Situation 3   |
| <3.5                                 | 3                            | Moderate risk         | -  |
| <15                                  | 4                            | Moderate to high risk | Consider need for Level 3 risk assessment  |
| <70                                  | 5                            | High risk             | Level 3 risk assessment required   |
| >70                                  | 6                            | Very high risk        |  |

Applicable Gas criteria for service pits is presented below in **Table 4**.

| Analyte          | Threshold level reference               | Unit              | Threshold Level   | Comments  |
|------------------|---|-------------------|---|---|
| CH <sub>4</sub>  | NSW EPA 2016 <sup>(1)</sup>             | % (volume/volume) | 1.0   | The threshold level for further investigation and corrective action   |
| CO <sub>2</sub>  |   |                   | 1.5   |   |
| CO <sub>2</sub>  | Safe Work Australia HSIS <sup>(2)</sup> | ppm               | TWA <sup>(3)</sup> : 5000<br>STEL <sup>(4)</sup> : 30,000 | Work Place Exposure Standards   |
| H <sub>2</sub> S | Safe Work Australia HSIS <sup>(2)</sup> | ppm               | TWA: 10<br>STEL: 15                                       | - Only applicable to service pits to assess risks for utility workers |
| CO               | Safe Work Australia HSIS <sup>(2)</sup> | ppm               | TWA: 30   | - Not applicable for ground gas                                       |

<sup>1</sup> This was discussed in the scope of the Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessment (Greencap 2018), as indoor monitoring at School Building is regularly undertaken and results obtained so far did not indicate any gas intrusion, GSV values obtained during this monitoring program that are less than 0.07 will be considered as Very Low Risk.

## 5 MONITORING RESULTS

### 5.1 Subsurface Gas Well Monitoring

A summary of the subsurface gas well results is presented below in **Table 5: Subsurface Gas Results**.

CH<sub>4</sub> was detected in monitoring well GG5 at a level below the adopted NSW EPA (2016) Guideline.

CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were detected in exceedance of the adopted NSW EPA (2016) threshold in wells GG2, GG3, GG5, GG6, GG7 and GG9.

Measured flow rates recorded in all subsurface monitoring wells were consistently 0.0L/hr.

CO was detected at low levels in wells GG1, GG2, GG8 and GG9. H<sub>2</sub>S was not detected in any of the subsurface monitoring wells. O<sub>2</sub> concentrations ranged between 7.8%v/v (GG9) and 20.5%v/v (GG1).

### 5.2 Characteristic Gas Situation

GSVs calculated for CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> in each of the monitored wells indicated a Characteristic Gas Situation of CS1 "Very Low Risk" according to the Modified Wilson and Card classification method presented in **Table 3**.



**Table 5: Subsurface Gas Results**

| Well ID | Monitoring Date | Time  | Relative Pressure (mb) | Stable Flow Rate (L/hr) | Methane     |               | Gas Screening Value | Carbon Dioxide |               | Gas Screening Value | Oxygen (%v/v) | Carbon Monoxide (ppm) | Hydrogen Sulfide (ppm) | Balance (%) | Barometric Pressure (mBar) |
|---------|-----------------|-------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|
|         |                 |       |                        |                         | Peak (%v/v) | Stable (%v/v) |                     | Peak (%v/v)    | Stable (%v/v) |                     |               |                       |                        |             |                            |
| GG1     | 29/10/2019      | 11:10 | 0.03                   | 0.0                     | 0.0         | 0.0           | 0.00                | 0.3            | 0.1           | 0.00                | 20.5          | 2                     | 0                      | 79.4        | 1018                       |
| GG2     | 29/10/2019      | 11:00 | -0.14                  | 0.0                     | 0.0         | 0.0           | 0.00                | 3.6            | 3.6           | 0.00                | 16.2          | 2                     | 0                      | 80.1        | 1018                       |
| GG3     | 29/10/2019      | 9:53  | -0.03                  | 0.0                     | 0.0         | 0.0           | 0.00                | 5.8            | 5.8           | 0.00                | 13            | 0                     | 0                      | 81.2        | 1018                       |
| GG4     | INACCESSIBLE    |       |                        |                         |             |               |                     |                |               |                     |               |                       |                        |             |                            |
| GG5     | 29/10/2019      | 9:50  | -0.03                  | 0.0                     | 0.1         | 0.1           | 0.00                | 5.2            | 5.2           | 0.00                | 14.2          | 0                     | 0                      | 80.5        | 1018                       |
| GG6     | 29/10/2019      | 10:03 | 0.02                   | 0.0                     | 0.0         | 0.0           | 0.00                | 3.9            | 3.9           | 0.00                | 13.2          | 0                     | 0                      | 83          | 1018                       |
| GG7     | 29/10/2019      | 10:07 | -0.03                  | 0.0                     | 0.0         | 0.0           | 0.00                | 2.3            | 2.3           | 0.00                | 16.5          | 0                     | 0                      | 81.2        | 1018                       |
| GG8     | 29/10/2019      | 10:13 | -4.06                  | 0.0                     | 0.0         | 0.0           | 0.00                | 1.0            | 0.9           | 0.00                | 12.7          | 2                     | 0                      | 86.2        | 1018                       |
| GG9     | 29/10/2019      | 10:22 | -0.05                  | 0.0                     | 0.0         | 0.0           | 0.00                | 10.8           | 10.8          | 0.00                | 7.8           | 1                     | 0                      | 81.5        | 1019                       |

**Hazardous Ground Gas Guideline Criteria**

|  |   |
|--|---|
|  | Denotes Characteristic Gas Situation of 1 (NSW EPA (2012), <i>Guidelines for the Assessment and Management of Sites Impacted by Hazardous Ground Gases</i> )  |
|  | Denotes Characteristic Gas Situation of 2 (NSW EPA (2012), <i>Guidelines for the Assessment and Management of Sites Impacted by Hazardous Ground Gases</i> )  |
|  | Denotes Characteristic Gas Situation of 3 (NSW EPA (2012), <i>Guidelines for the Assessment and Management of Sites Impacted by Hazardous Ground Gases</i> )  |
|  | Elevated above the 1% volume criteria for CH <sub>4</sub> and 1.5% for CO <sub>2</sub> presented in the NSW EPA <i>Solid Waste Landfill Guidelines (2016)</i> |

### 5.3 Service Pits

A total of 12 service pits are monitored in the field for potential accumulated or venting gases. Gas readings were taken from within the service pits, as well as above the service pits (approximately 1m directly above). A summary of gas results from within and above service pits is presented in **Table 6** below.

No detectable concentrations of CH<sub>4</sub> were identified in any of the accessible service pits across the school. A low concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> was detected within or above almost all service pits; however, the CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations recorded were below the threshold levels specified in the NSW EPA (2016) Guideline. Concentrations of CO were detected in and above all service pits, likely due to high levels of smoke haze in the region on the date of monitoring. Concentrations of H<sub>2</sub>S were not detected in any pits.

Due to operational/access constraints, service pits P2 and P9 were not accessible during the October monitoring round.

**Table 6: Service Pit Gas Results**

| Service Pit |                | CH <sub>4</sub> (%v/v) | CO <sub>2</sub> (%v/v) | O <sub>2</sub> (%v/v) | CO (ppm) | H <sub>2</sub> S (ppm) |
|-------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------|------------------------|
| P1          | (1m above pit) | 0.0                    | 0.1                    | 20.8                  | 2.0      | 0.0                    |
|             | (within pit)   | 0.0                    | 0.1                    | 20.8                  | 2.0      | 0.0                    |
| P2          | (1m above pit) | Inaccessible           |                        |                       |          |                        |
|             | (within pit)   |                        |                        |                       |          |                        |
| P3          | (1m above pit) | 0.0                    | 0.1                    | 20.8                  | 2.0      | 0.0                    |
|             | (within pit)   | 0.0                    | 0.1                    | 20.8                  | 2.0      | 0.0                    |
| P4          | (1m above pit) | 0.0                    | 0.1                    | 20.7                  | 2.0      | 0.0                    |
|             | (within pit)   | 0.0                    | 0.1                    | 20.7                  | 2.0      | 0.0                    |
| P5          | (1m above pit) | 0.0                    | 0.0                    | 21.3                  | 5.0      | 0.0                    |
|             | (within pit)   | 0.0                    | 0.0                    | 21.3                  | 5.0      | 0.0                    |
| P6          | (1m above pit) | 0.0                    | 0.1                    | 20.8                  | 2.0      | 0.0                    |
|             | (within pit)   | 0.0                    | 0.1                    | 20.8                  | 2.0      | 0.0                    |
| P7          | (1m above pit) | 0.0                    | 0.0                    | 20.9                  | 2.0      | 0.0                    |
|             | (within pit)   | 0.0                    | 0.1                    | 20.8                  | 2.0      | 0.0                    |
| P8          | (1m above pit) | 0.0                    | 0.0                    | 21.0                  | 2.0      | 0.0                    |
|             | (within pit)   | 0.0                    | 0.0                    | 21.0                  | 2.0      | 0.0                    |
| P9          | (1m above pit) | 0.0                    | 0.1                    | 20.7                  | 1.0      | 0.0                    |
|             | (within pit)   | Inaccessible           |                        |                       |          |                        |
| P10         | (1m above pit) | 0.0                    | 0.1                    | 20.7                  | 1.0      | 0.0                    |
|             | (within pit)   | 0.0                    | 0.1                    | 20.7                  | 1.0      | 0.0                    |
| P11         | (1m above pit) | 0.0                    | 0.1                    | 20.7                  | 1.0      | 0.0                    |
|             | (within pit)   | 0.0                    | 0.1                    | 20.7                  | 1.0      | 0.0                    |
| P12         | (1m above pit) | 0.0                    | 0.1                    | 20.7                  | 1.0      | 0.0                    |
|             | (within pit)   | 0.0                    | 0.1                    | 20.7                  | 2.0      | 0.0                    |

## 6 MONTHLY SITE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

During the monthly subsurface gas monitoring round, a monthly site inspection checklist is also compiled. Refer to the **Monthly Site Inspection Checklist** for the month of October 2019 for details.

## 7 FINDINGS

The main findings of this subsurface gas monitoring round can be summarised as follows:

- All monitoring wells had a GSV of 1 (Very Low Risk). Therefore, detections of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> are not considered to pose a risk to site users or nearby receptors.
- Results have indicated that gas emissions from service pits were below relevant criteria and indicative of background concentrations.

## 8 CONCLUSIONS

Results of this monitoring round indicate the site is Very Low Risk. No unacceptable risk to human health and/or environment was identified during the October 2019 monitoring round.

# Cringila PS Monthly Subsurface Gas Monitoring Report – October 2019

NSW Department of Education

Cringila Public School

Appendix A: Figures

# Cringila PS Monthly Subsurface Gas Monitoring Report – October 2019

NSW Department of Education

Cringila Public School

Appendix B: Calibration Certificates